

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,586	•••	05/24/2002	Hans Lander	10191/2175	6461	
26646	7590	12/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N & KENY	ON	HWU, DAVIS D			
	DADWAY RK, NY 1	0004	•	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3752		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$_{\Lambda}$ $_{\Lambda}$ $_{\Lambda}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	TI/UC					
Advisory Action	10/030,586	LANDER ET AL.	10					
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Davis D. Hwu	3752						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper replication at the state of	y to a ition in					
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	•							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Cluded and the corresponding among the corresponding the correspondin	ng date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension					
2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	CFR 1.704(b).		ction, even if					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI 								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:							
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3:							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
 10.								
		a						
	h							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation "immediate exterior of an outlet area of the orifice" raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.